1	1 KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney		
2			
3	3		
4	4		
5	5		
6	6		
7	7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11	11		
12	12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR-04-0118 PJH	[
13		 VIOLATION: Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1832(a)(5) and 371 – Conspiracy to Commit Theft and Downloading of Trade Secrets, Fraud in Connection with Computers, and Interstate Transportation of 	
14	Sections 1832(a)(5) a V. Commit Theft and Do		
15	15 JOHN O'NEIL,) Secrets, Fraud in Con Computers, and Inters		
16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
17	17 SAN FRANCISCO V	ENUE	
18	18		
19	SUPERSEDING INFORMATION		
20	The United States Attorney charges:		
21	BACKGROUND		
22	At all times relevant to this Information:		
23	1. Business Engine Software Corporation ("BES") was a privately funded company		
24	which manufactured, licensed, and provided enterprise application software products and		
25	services that allow companies to manage projects, resources, and budgets. It had offices in: San		
26	Francisco and Ontario, California; Virginia Beach, Virginia; New York, New York; Chicago,		
27	Illinois; King of Prussia, Pennsylvania; Belgium; the United Kingdom; and India.		
28	1		
	INFORMATION		

U.S. v. John O'Neil, CR-04-0118 PJH

- 2. Robert Michael McKimmey was employed by BES as the Chief Technology Officer in its Virginia Beach, Virginia office. McKimmey was also a member of BES's Board of Directors and one of BES's equity owners.
- 3. William F. McMenamin was employed by BES as Executive Vice President of Worldwide Sales in its San Francisco, California office. Defendant McMenamin was also a member of BES's Executive management team.
- 4. Defendant John O'Neil was employed by BES as its Chief Executive Officer, and was also a member of BES's Board of Directors and one of BES's equity owners.
- 5. Niku Corporation (Niku) was a publicly traded company which manufactured, licensed, and provided enterprise application software and services for companies to manage and govern their projects, resources, and budgets. It had twenty offices in the United States and overseas including its headquarters in Redwood City, California and offices in: Petaluma, California; New York, New York; Chicago, Illinois; Atlanta, Georgia; Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; Lovina, Michigan; Exeter, New Hampshire; the United Kingdom; the Netherlands; France; Germany; and Australia. Niku was one of BES's direct competitors in the enterprise software industry.

THE CONSPIRACY

6. Beginning on date unknown but at least by October of 2001, and continuing to in or about July of 2002, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendant JOHN O'NEIL

did knowingly and intentionally conspire and agree with Robert Michael McKimmey and William F. McMenamin to commit the following offenses: theft and downloading of trade secrets, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1832(a)(1) and (a)(2); fraud in connection with computers, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(4); and interstate transportation of stolen property, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2314.

7. It was part of the conspiracy that the co-conspirators would and did knowingly, and with intent to defraud, access victim Niku's computer network and applications without authorization, and by means of such conduct further the intended fraud, and obtain things of

value including Niku trade secrets.

- 8. It was further part of the conspiracy that the co-conspirators would and did steal, and without authorization knowingly take by fraud, artifice, and deception, Niku trade secrets.
- 9. It was further part of the conspiracy that the co-conspirators would and did knowingly download, copy, and transmit Niku trade secrets without authorization.
- 10. It was further part of the conspiracy that the co-conspirators would and did knowingly transport, transmit, and transfer in interstate commerce goods, wares, and merchandise having a value exceeding \$5,000, knowing the same to have been stolen, converted, and taken by fraud from Niku.
- 11. It was further part of the conspiracy that the co-conspirators would and did: (a) access Niku's computer network and applications without authorization; (b) steal, download, and copy things of value including Niku trade secrets; and (c) transmit some of those things of value including Niku trade secrets to each other and to other BES officers and employees all so that BES could obtain and maintain a competitive edge over its direct competitor, Niku.

OVERT ACTS

- 12. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect its objects, the defendant and his co-conspirators committed, among others, the following overt acts in the Northern District of California and elsewhere:
- a. Beginning in or about October of 2001, and continuing to in or about July of 2002, McKimmey knowingly accessed Niku's computer network and applications without authorization;
- b. Beginning in or about October of 2001, and continuing to in or about July of 2002, McKimmey stole, downloaded, and copied things of value including trade secrets from Niku's computer network and applications;
- c. Beginning in or about October of 2001, and continuing to in or about July of 2002, McKimmey provided trade secrets and things of value stolen from Niku to defendant O'Neil, McMenamin and other BES officers and employees to allow BES to obtain a competitive advantage over Niku;

- d. Defendant O'Neil encouraged McKimmey and McMenamin to misappropriate and use trade secrets and things of value stolen from Niku to allow BES to obtain a competitive advantage over Niku;
- e. Beginning in or about October of 2001, and continuing to in or about July of 2002, McMenamin distributed trade secrets and things of value stolen from Niku to other BES officers and employees to allow BES to obtain a competitive advantage over Niku.
- f. Beginning in or about October of 2001, and continuing to in or about July of 2002, defendant O'Neil, his co-conspirators, and others utilized the trade secrets and other things of value stolen from Niku to allow BES to obtain a competitive advantage over Niku.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1832(a)(5) and 371.

DATED: November 29, 2005

KEVIN V. RYAN United States Attorney

Chief, Criminal Division

Approved as to Form:

Assistant United States Attorn

INFORMATION U.S. v. John O'Neil, CR-04-0118 PJH